

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,294	12/15/2003	Seon Yong Cha	40296-0048	1788
26633 7	7590 04/25/2005		EXAMINER	
HELLER EHRMAN WHITE & MCAULIFFE LLP 1717 RHODE ISLAND AVE, NW			DICKEY, THOMAS L	
	DN, DC 20036-3001		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2826	
			DATE MAILED: 04/25/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		AK				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/734,294	CHA, SEON YONG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas L. Dickey	2826				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror , cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 M	larch 2005.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-13 is/are withdrawr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 	n from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 15 December 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set ion is required if the drawing(s) is obtained.	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicating documents have been received in CPCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/15/03.	Paper No(s)/Mail D					

Application/Control Number: 10/734,294 Page 2

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

1. The preliminary amendment filed on 12/15/03 has been entered.

Election/Restriction

2. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-5 in the Paper filed 03/01/05 is acknowledged.

Oath/Declaration

3. The oath/declaration filed on 12/15/03 is acceptable.

Drawings

4. New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because the drawing numbers do not match the drawing numbers in the specification. Formal drawings rendering the changes made in the informal annotated sheets filed 12/15/03 will be adequate. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/734,294 Page 3

Art Unit: 2826

Priority

5. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

6. The Information Disclosure Statement filed on 12/15/03 has been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 2, line 2, neither "the matrix shape," nor "the bit line and word line masks," have any antecedent basis.

Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

Art Unit: 2826

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 4

Claims 1,3, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by CHA (2002/0140016).

Cha discloses a magnetoresistive random access memory (MRAM) comprising a vertical structure field effect transistor, wherein the vertical structure field effect transistor has its channel column 115 and drain area 117 sequentially formed on an insulation substrate 111, its source area 113 formed on the insulation substrate 111 on which the channel column 115 has not been formed, and its read word lines 121 formed around the channel column 115 to serve as a gate, a contact line 125, a magnetic tunnel junction cell 200, a bit line 137 and a write word line 141 are sequentially formed on the drain area 117, the source area 113 and the drain area 117 are formed according to ion implantation, and the channel column 115 is comprised of silicon, and wherein the read word line is formed from polysilicon. Note fig. 2A and paragraphs 0026-0034 of Cha.

The applicant's claims 1,3, and 4 do not distinguish over the Cha reference regardless of the process used to form the magnetic tunnel junction cell 200, because only the final product is relevant, not the recited process of forming patterns of the magnetic tunnel junction cell according to a self-alignment method, without requiring a special mask process for forming the patterns.

Application/Control Number: 10/734,294

Page 5

Art Unit: 2826

Note that a "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made. In re Hirao, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See also In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685; In re Luck, 177 USPQ 523; In re Fessmann, 180 USPQ 324; In re Avery, 186 USPQ 161; In re Wertheim, 191 USPQ 90 (209 USPQ 554 does not deal with this issue); and In re Marosi et al., 218 USPQ 289, all of which make it clear that it is the patentability of the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim and not the patentability of the process, and that an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Note that applicant has the burden of proof in such cases, as the above caselaw makes clear. See also MPEP 706.03(e).

Allowable Subject Matter

- **9.** Claim 2 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112 set forth in this Office action.
- **10.** Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 5 is allowable because of the unique claimed combination of anti-ferroelectric and pinned ferromagnetic layers. It is noted that this combination is supported uniformly and consistently throughout the specification. No other combination (such as a combination of an anti-ferromagnetic and a pinned ferromagnetic layer) finds any support whatsoever in the specification as filed.

Application/Control Number: 10/734,294 Page 6

Art Unit: 2826

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas L Dickey whose telephone number is 571-272-1913. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J Flynn can be reached on 571-272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas L. Dickey Patent Examiner Art Unit 2826 04/05